

**COMMISSION ON TECHNOLOGY**  
**Agenda Information/Action Item**  
**Meeting Date: June 7, 2013**

<i>Agenda Item:</i>	<i>Type of Action Requested:</i>
<a href="#"><u><b>STRATEGIC PROJECTS REVIEW/UPDATES</b></u></a>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request  <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only  <input type="checkbox"/> Other

**FROM:**

**COT SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIRS**

- **e-COURT** – Mr. Marcus Reinkensmeyer, Director, AOC Court Services Division
- **CACC** – Hon. Michael Pollard, Judge, Tucson City Court
- **PACC** – Ms. Rona Newton, IT Director, Pima County Juvenile Court
- **TAC** – Mr. Karl Heckart, AOC ITD Director, CIO

**SUMMARY:**

**Updates are listed as action items in the event that members feel the need to create motions in response to the material shared. No motions are required to be made.**

The **e-Court Update** recaps the progress being made with three “e” projects at the various levels of court. Marcus will update members on specific projects and timelines for expanding the projects’ depth and reach.

The **CACC Update** recaps the status of trial court projects being monitored on COT’s behalf, some of which are priorities from the previous annual meeting. The CACC chair will also review some key project accomplishments, milestones planned for next year, and challenges faced by current statewide projects, some of which will require (already committed) funding to address.

The **PACC Update** provides updates on progress with probation automation over the past year. Rona will update members on the challenges faced by the JOLTSaz/ AGAVE/CAMMS integration project in Pima County and preview efforts in probation automation over the next fiscal year.

The **TAC Update** focuses on key technology topics discussed during the year and the anticipated work of the subcommittee during the next fiscal year. Karl will focus attention on defining more realistic categories of courts leading to more clear roles and

responsibilities, as formulated by TAC during the past year.

Presentations received from the subcommittee chairs are attached.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommendation depends on the specifics of any motion made by a subcommittee chair. Any funding requests must be approved in a separate agenda item.

**ACTION OPTIONS:**

**A MOTION MAY BE DOCUMENTED IN RELATION TO THE PLAN OR NEEDS PRESENTED BY ANY INDIVIDUAL PROJECT. IF SO, THESE ARE THE OPTIONS:**

1. Approve the motion as stated.
2. Table the stated motion for later action.
3. Approve the motion with changes as documented.
4. Disapprove the motion, taking no further action.